arXiv:1409.5571v2 [math.OA] 9 Jul 2015

2-LOCAL DERIVATIONS ON AW*-ALGEBRAS OF TYPE I

SHAVKAT AYUPOV AND FARHODJON ARZIKULOV

Abstract. It is proved that every 2-local derivation on an AW*-algebra of type I is a derivation. Also an analog of Gleason theorem for signed measures on projections of homogenous AW*-algebras except the cases of an AW*-algebra of type I_2 and a factor of type I_m, $2 < m < \infty$ is proved.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46L57; Secondary 46L51
Keywords: AW*-algebra, derivation, 2-local derivation

Introduction

The present paper is devoted to 2-local derivations on AW*-algebras. Recall that a 2-local derivation is defined as follows: given an algebra A, a map $\Delta : A \to A$ (not linear in general) is called a 2-local derivation if for every $x, y \in A$, there exists a derivation $D_{x,y} : A \to A$ such that $\Delta(x) = D_{x,y}(x)$ and $\Delta(y) = D_{x,y}(y)$.

In 1997, P. Šemrl [15] introduced the notion of 2-local derivations and described 2-local derivations on the algebra $B(H)$ of all bounded linear operators on the infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space H. A similar description for the finite-dimensional case appeared later in [2]. In the paper [3] 2-local derivations have been described on matrix algebras over finite-dimensional division rings.

In [4] the authors suggested a new technique and have generalized the above mentioned results of [15] and [2] for arbitrary Hilbert spaces. Namely they considered 2-local derivations on the algebra $B(H)$ of all linear bounded operators on an arbitrary (no separability is assumed) Hilbert space H and proved that every 2-local derivation on $B(H)$ is a derivation.

In [5] we also suggested another technique and generalized the above mentioned results of [15], [2] and [4] for arbitrary von Neumann algebras of type I and proved that every 2-local derivation on these algebras is a derivation. In [6] (Theorem 3.4) a similar result was proved for finite von Neumann algebras. In [7] we extended all above results and give a short proof of the theorem for arbitrary semi-finite von Neumann algebras. Finally, in [8] there was given a proof of the problem for von Neumann algebras.

In the present paper we prove that every 2-local derivation on an AW*-algebra of type I is a derivation (theorem 3.1). Also we prove an analog of Gleason theorem for signed measures on projection of homogenous AW*-algebras except the cases of an AW*-algebra of type I_2 and a factor of type I_m, $2 < m < \infty$ (theorem 1.2). Our proof is essentially based on this analog of Gleason theorem for signed measures on projection of homogenous AW*-algebras.

Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an AW*-algebra.

Definition. A linear map $D : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is called a derivation, if $D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y)$ for any two elements x, $y \in \mathcal{A}$.

A map $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is called a 2-local derivation, if for any two elements x, $y \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists a derivation $D_{x,y} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $\Delta(x) = D_{x,y}(x)$, $\Delta(y) = D_{x,y}(y)$.

It is known that any derivation D on a AW*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is an inner derivation [9], that is there exists an element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$D(x) = ax - xa, x \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Therefore for an AW*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ the above definition is equivalent to the following one: A map $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is called a 2-local derivation, if for any two elements x, $y \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists an element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\Delta(x) = ax - xa$, $\Delta(y) = ay - ya$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an AW*-algebra, $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ be a 2-local derivation. Then from the definition it easily follows that Δ is homogenous. At the same time,

$$\Delta(x^2) = \Delta(x)x + x\Delta(x)$$

for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

In [10] it is proved that any Jordan derivation on a semi-prime algebra is a derivation. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is semi-prime, the map Δ is a derivation if it is additive. Therefore, in the case of AW*-algebra to prove that the 2-local derivation $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a derivation it is sufficient to prove that $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is additive.

1. Gleason theorem and its application

Definition. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an AW*-algebra. The lattice of all projections of $\mathcal{A}$ we denote by $P(\mathcal{A})$. Recall that a map $\mu : P(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a signed measure (or charge) if $\mu(e_1 + e_2) = \mu(e_1) + \mu(e_2)$ for arbitrary mutually orthogonal projections e_1, e_2 in $\mathcal{A}$.

A signed measure μ is said to be bounded if $\sup\{|\mu(e)| : e \in P(\mathcal{A})\}$ is finite.

Recall that a map $\mu : P(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is called a finitely additive measure if $\mu(e_1 + e_2) = \mu(e_1) + \mu(e_2)$ for arbitrary mutually orthogonal projections e_1, e_2 in $\mathcal{A}$, if additionally $\mu(1) = 1$ then μ is called a probability measure.

Let A be a C*-algebra. By a singly generated C*-subalgebra of A we mean a norm-closed $*$-subalgebra $A(x)$ generated by a single self-adjoint element $x \in A$ (and the identity 1 if A has identity).

Definition. A positive quasi-linear functional is a function $\rho : A \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

(i) $\rho|_{A(x)}$ is a positive linear functional for each $x \in A_{sa}$.

(ii) $\rho(a) = \rho(a_1) + i\rho(a_2)$, when $a = a_1 + ia_2$ is the canonical decomposition of a in self-adjoint parts a_1, a_2.

If in addition (iii) $\rho(1) = 1$, then we say that ρ is a quasi-state on A.

Since an AW*-factor of type I_n is a von Neumnn algebra, we have

Theorem 1.1. *Let A be an AW*-factor of type I_n, $n \neq 2$. Then every bounded signed measure on $P(A)$ can be extended uniquely to a linear functional on A.*

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an AW*-algebra of type I_n that is not a factor for $2 < n < \infty$ and $n \neq 2$, where n is a cardinal number. Let $\{e_i\}$ be a maximal family of pairwise orthogonal abelian projections in $\mathcal{A}$ with central support 1 such that $\sup_i e_i = 1$. Let $\{e_{ij}\}$ be the system of matrix units with respect to $\{e_i\}$. Let $Z(\mathcal{A})$ be the center of $\mathcal{A}$, X be a compact such that $C(X) \cong Z(\mathcal{A})$. Let m be a natural number such that $m \leq n$, $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^m$ be a subset of $\{e_i\}$ and $e = \sum_{j=1}^m e_j$. It is known that $e\mathcal{A}e \cong C(X) \otimes M_m(\mathbf{C})$. In [12] it is proved that $\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to the AW*-algebra of maps

from the extremely disconnected compact X to the von Neumann algebra $B(H)$ of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H satisfying to certain conditions. Following by [12] this AW*-algebra we denote by $SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$. Further we will use these designations. The algebra $C(X, B(H))$ of all continuous operator-valued functions on X is a C*-algebra.

Lemma 1.2. *Every signed measure μ on $P(SC_{\#}(X, B(H)))$ can be uniquely extended to a linear functional on $C(X, B(H))$, i.e. there exists a linear functional ρ on $C(X, B(H))$ such that*

$$\rho|_{P(SC_{\#}(X,B(H)))\cap C(X,B(H))} = \mu|_{P(SC_{\#}(X,B(H)))\cap C(X,B(H))}.$$

Proof. Let $\{E_i\}$ be a maximal family of pairwise orthogonal Abelian projections in $B(H)$ and let $e_i : X \to E_i$ be the operator-valued function $e_i(x) = E_i$, $x \in X$ for every i. Then $\{e_i\}$ be a maximal family of pairwise orthogonal Abelian projections in $SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$ with central support 1 such that $\sup_i e_i = 1$. Let $\{e_{ij}\}$ be the system of matrix units with respect to $\{e_i\}$. The subalgebra

$$\mathcal{C} = \{a \in A : \text{for all } i \text{ and } j \ e_i a e_j = \lambda e_{ij}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

is an AW*-subfactor of type I_n.

It is clear that $\mathcal{C} \subset C(X, B(H)$.

Let μ be a signed measure on the set $\mathcal{P}$ of all projections of $SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$. First, we will prove that the signed measure μ is uniquely extended to a linear functional on the vector space $C(X, B(H)_{sa})$ of all self-adjoint elements of $C(X, B(H))$.

Let $\mu_{Re}(p) = Re(\mu(p))$, $\mu_{Im}(p) = Im(\mu(p))$ for every $p \in P(\mathcal{A})$. Then

$$\mu = \mu_{Re} + i\mu_{Im}.$$

The maps μ_{Re}, μ_{Im} are real number-valued signed measures. Without loss of generality we assume that μ is a real number-valued signed measures.

By theorem 1.1 the signed measure μ is uniquely extended to a linear functional on any subalgebra of the form $z\mathcal{C}$, where z is a central projection. This linear functional on $z\mathcal{C}$ we denote by ϕ_z. Clearly $\phi_z|_{P(zC)} = \mu$.

At the same time, straightforward arguments show that μ has a unique extension to a function $\delta : SC_{\#}(X, B(H)) \to \mathbb{C}$, where δ is linear and bounded on each abelian $*$-subalgebra of $SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$ and where $\delta(x+iy) = \delta(x)+i\delta(y)$ whenever x and y are self-adjoint (see [13]). Hence, for every central projection z we have $\phi_z|_{z\mathcal{C}} = \delta|_{z\mathcal{C}}$. Therefore we may take

$$\phi(za) = \phi_z(za), z \in Z(SC_{\#}(X, B(H))) \cap P(SC_{\#}(X, B(H))), a \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Clearly ϕ is a mapping.

Take the set $\Re$ of all elements of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i a_i$, where $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ are orthogonal central projections of sum 1 and the elements $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ belong to $\mathcal{C}_{sa}$. It is clear that $\Re$ is a normed space and belongs to $C(X, B(H)_{sa})$.

For every element $\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i a_i$ from $\Re$ we suppose

$$\phi(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i a_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \phi(x_i a_i).$$

Then ϕ is a linear mapping on $\Re$. Indeed, for arbitrary elements $\sum_{i=1}^{m_1} x_i a_i$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{m_2} y_j b_j$ of $\Re$ we have

$$\phi(\sum_{i=1}^{m_1} a_i z_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} y_j b_j) = \phi[\sum_{i=1}^{m_1}\sum_{j=1}^{m_2} x_i y_j (a_i + b_j)] =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m_1}\sum_{j=1}^{m_2} \phi(x_i y_j a_i + x_i y_j b_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1}\sum_{j=1}^{m_2} \phi(x_i y_j a_i) +$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m_1}\sum_{j=1}^{m_2} \phi(x_i y_j b_j) = \phi(\sum_{i=1}^{m_1} x_i a_i) + \phi(\sum_{i=1}^{m_2} y_j b_j).$$

Thus ϕ is a linear mapping on $\Re$.

Now we prove that, if a consequence $(a_n) \subset \Re$ is a fundamental consequence, then the numerical consequence $(\phi(a_n))$ is also fundamental.

By [15, proposition 1.17.1] there exist positive functionals ϕ_z^+, ϕ_z^- on $z\mathcal{C}$ for every central projection z such that

$$\phi = \phi_z^+ - \phi_z^-.$$

At the same time, the measure

$$\mu|_{Z(SC_{\#}(X,B(H)))\cap P(SC_{\#}(X,B(H)))}$$

has a unique extension to a linear functional $\eta : Z(SC_{\#}(X, B(H))) \to \mathbb{C}$, and by [15, proposition 1.17.1] there exist positive functionals η^+, η^- on $Z(SC_{\#}(X, B(H)))$ such that

$$\eta = \eta^+ - \eta^-.$$

If $\lambda_z = \phi_z^+(z) - \eta^+(z)$ for some number λ_z, then, of course, $\lambda_z = \phi_z^-(z) - \eta^-(z)$, and for every central projection z we chose ϕ_z^+, ϕ_z^- such that $\phi_z^+(z) = \eta^+(z)$, $\phi_z^-(z) = \eta^-(z)$. We can do it by the following by

$$\phi_z^+(x) := \phi_z^+(x) - \lambda_z, \phi_z^-(x) := \phi_z^-(x) - \lambda_z, x \in z\mathcal{C}.$$

We may take the following mapping

$$\phi_+(za) = \phi_z^+(za), z \in Z(SC_{\#}(X, B(H))) \cap P(SC_{\#}(X, B(H))), a \in \mathcal{C}.$$

For every element $\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i a_i$ from $\Re$ we suppose

$$\phi_+(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i a_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \phi_+(x_i a_i).$$

Then ϕ_+ is linear on $\Re$. Indeed, for arbitrary elements $\sum_{i=1}^{m_1} x_i a_i$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{m_2} y_j b_j$ of $\Re$ we have

$$\phi_+(\sum_{i=1}^{m_1} a_i z_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} y_j b_j) = \phi_+[\sum_{i=1}^{m_1}\sum_{j=1}^{m_2} x_i y_j (a_i + b_j)] =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m_1}\sum_{j=1}^{m_2} \phi_+(x_i y_j a_i + x_i y_j b_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1}\sum_{j=1}^{m_2} \phi_+(x_i y_j a_i) +$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m_1}\sum_{j=1}^{m_2} \phi_+(x_i y_j b_j) = \phi_+(\sum_{i=1}^{m_1} x_i a_i) + \phi_+(\sum_{i=1}^{m_2} y_j b_j).$$

Thus ϕ_+ is linear on $\Re$. Similarly we may define the linear mapping ϕ_- on $\Re$.

Note that from $\sum_{i=1}^{m_1} a_i z_i \geq 0$ it follows that $a_i z_i \geq 0$ for every i. Hence, since $\phi_+(a_i z_i) \geq 0$ for each i and ϕ_+ is linear on $\Re$ we have $\phi_+(\sum_{i=1}^{m_1} a_i z_i) \geq 0$. So, since $\sum_{i=1}^{m_1} a_i z_i \geq 0$ is chosen arbitrarily in $\Re$ we have $\phi_+(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \Re \cap C(X, B(H))_+$, where $C(X, B(H))_+$ is the set of all positive elements of $C(X, B(H))$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose that

$$\| \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} x_i a_i - \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} y_j b_j \| < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\{x_i y_j\}$ is an orthogonal family of central projections we have

$$\|x_i y_j (a_i - b_j)\| < \varepsilon \tag{1.1}$$

for all indices i and j.

Let us $x_i y_j (a_i - b_j)$ denote by c_{ij} for every pair of indices i, j. There exist pairwise orthogonal projections $p_1^{ij}, p_2^{ij}, \ldots, p_k^{ij}$ in $x_i y_j \mathcal{C}$ and numbers $\beta_1^{ij}, \beta_2^{ij}, \ldots, \beta_k^{ij}$ such that

$$\|c_{ij} - \sum_{l=1}^{k} \beta_l^{ij} p_l^{ij}\| < \varepsilon. \tag{1.2}$$

Since $\{x_i y_j\}$ is an orthogonal family of central projections with sum 1 we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m_1}\sum_{j=1}^{m_2} x_i y_j c_{ij} < \varepsilon 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m_1}\sum_{j=1}^{m_2} [x_i y_j (\sum_{l=1}^{k} \beta_l^{ij} p_l^{ij})],$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{m_1}\sum_{j=1}^{m_2} x_i y_j c_{ij} < \varepsilon 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m_1}\sum_{j=1}^{m_2} [x_i y_j (\sum_{l=1}^{k} \beta_l^{ij} p_l^{ij})] \tag{1.3}.$$

The elements

$$x_i y_j, x_i y_j c_{ij}, x_i y_j (\sum_{l=1}^{k} \beta_l^{ij} p_l^{ij}) \text{ for all indices } i, j,$$

and

$$\sum_{v=1}^{m_1}\sum_{w=1}^{m_2} x_v y_w c_{vw}, \sum_{v=1}^{m_1}\sum_{w=1}^{m_2} [x_v y_w (\sum_{l=1}^{k} \beta_l^{vw} p_l^{vw})]$$

belong to $\Re$. Therefore the map ϕ_+ is defined on these elements. Let

$$a^+ = \phi_+(\sum_{i=1}^{m_1}\sum_{j=1}^{m_2} x_i y_j c_{ij}), a^- = \phi_-(\sum_{i=1}^{m_1}\sum_{j=1}^{m_2} x_i y_j c_{ij}), a = a^+ - a^-,$$

$$b^+ = \phi_+(\sum_{i=1}^{m_1}\sum_{j=1}^{m_2} [x_i y_j (\sum_{l=1}^{k} \beta_l^{ij} p_l^{ij})]), b^- = \phi_-(\sum_{i=1}^{m_1}\sum_{j=1}^{m_2} [x_i y_j (\sum_{l=1}^{k} \beta_l^{ij} p_l^{ij})]), b = b^+ - b^-.$$

Then, since ϕ_+ is linear and positive on $\Re$ we have

$$a^+ < \varepsilon \sum \phi_+(x_i y_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{m_1}\sum_{j=1}^{m_2} \phi_+(x_i y_j (\sum_{l=1}^{k} \beta_l^{ij} p_l^{ij}))$$

$$= \varepsilon \phi_+(1) + b^+ \tag{1.4}$$

by (1.3). Similarly

$$-a^+ < \varepsilon \phi_+(1) - b^+. \tag{1.5}$$

Similarly for ϕ_- we have

$$a^- < \varepsilon\phi_-(1) + b^- \tag{1.4.1}$$

and

$$-a^- < \varepsilon\phi_-(1) - b^-. \tag{1.5.1}$$

Hence

$$a - b < \varepsilon(\phi_+(1) + \phi_-(1)), -\varepsilon(\phi_+(1) + \phi_-(1)) < a - b$$

and

$$|a - b| < \varepsilon(\phi_+(1) + \phi_-(1)) < \varepsilon C_1,$$

for some real number C_1 which is not depending on ε.

Clearly the family $\{x_iy_j, x_iy_jp_l^{ij}\}_{ijl}$ of projections is contained in some maximal commutative $*$-subalgebra $A_\circ$ of $SC_\#(X, B(H))$.

The extension of ϕ to $A_\circ$ coincides with $\delta|_{A_\circ}$ first by theorem 1.1 for every i and j the linear functional $\phi|_{x_iy_j\mathcal{C}}$ coincides on $x_iy_j\mathcal{C}$ with the unique quasilinear functional δ, and second $\phi|_{P(A_\circ)} = \mu|_{P(A_\circ)}$.

By (1.1) and (1.2)

$$\|x_iy_j(\sum_{l=1}^{k}\beta_l^{ij}p_l^{ij})\| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

At the same time as it mentioned above ϕ is a continuous linear functional on $A_\circ$. Therefore

$$|\phi(\sum_{i=1}^{m_1}\sum_{j=1}^{m_2}[x_iy_j(\sum_{l=1}^{k}\beta_l^{ij}p_l^{ij})])| < 2C_2\varepsilon,$$

for some real number C_2 which is not depending on ε. Hence by (1.4), (1.5), (1.4.1) and (1.5.1) we have

$$|\phi(\sum_{i=1}^{m_1}\sum_{j=1}^{m_2}x_iy_jc_{ij})| = |a| = |a - b + b| < |a - b| + |b| < \varepsilon C_1 + 2C_2\varepsilon.$$

and for every fundamental consequence $(a_n) \subset \Re$ the numerical consequence $(\phi(a_n))$ is also fundamental.

Let a be an arbitrary continuous operator-valued function from $SC_\#(X, B(H))_{sa}$. For every natural number m and for each point $x \in X$ there exists an open neighborhood $O_x \subseteq X$ of the point x such that

$$\|a(x) - a(y)\| < 1/m$$

for every $y \in O_x$. Fix m. Let Q_x be the closure of the neighborhood O_x for every point $x \in X$. Then for every point $x \in X$ the set Q_x is open. It is clear that $\bigcup_{x\in X} Q_x = X$. Thus the family $\{Q_x\}_{x\in X}$ is an open covering of the compact X and it can be chosen a finite covering, say $\{Q_{x_k}\}_{k=1}^{l}$ in $\{Q_x\}_{x\in X}$. For every k in $\{1, 2, \ldots, l\}$ and $y \in Q_{x_k}$ we have

$$\|a(x_k) - a(y)\| \leq 1/m.$$

Without loss of the generality we admit that sets in $\{Q_{x_k}\}_{k=1}^{l}$ are not pairwise crossed. For each k in $\{1, 2, \ldots, l\}$ the characteristic function $\mathcal{X}_{Q_{x_k}}$ of the set Q_{x_k} belongs to $P(Z(A))$. Hence the element $\sum_{k=1}^{l}\mathcal{X}_{Q_{x_k}}a(x_k)$ lies in $\Re$ and

$$\|a - \sum_{k=1}^{l}\mathcal{X}_{Q_{x_k}}a(x_k)\| \leq 1/m.$$

We continue the extension of ϕ on the uniform closure of $\Re$ in $C(X, B(H)_{sa})$, which coincides with $C(X, B(H)_{sa})$ by the above conclusions. Take a consequence $(a_m) \subset \Re$ converging to the element a. Then, since ϕ is continuous on $\Re$ there exists $\lim \phi(a_m)$. We admit $\phi(a) = \lim \phi(a_m)$. It is clear that $\phi(a)$ does not depends on choice of the consequence (a_m) in $\Re$.

Let p be a projection in $SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$, which is a continuous operator-valued function. We prove that for p the element $\phi(a)$ is defined correctly. Let ε be a positive number. Then there exists an orthogonal family $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^{l}$ of central projections such that $(\forall i) z_i = \mathcal{X}_{Q_{x_i}}$ for some point $x_i \in X$ and open-closed set Q_{x_i} containing this point. In this case

$$(\forall i)(\forall y \in Q_{x_i})\|p(x_i) - p(y)\| < \varepsilon/2.$$

Hence

$$(\forall i)(\forall x, y \in Q_{x_i})\|p(x) - p(y)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Fix i and y. Then

$$(\forall x \in Q_{x_i}) - 1\varepsilon < p(x) - p(y) < 1\varepsilon,$$

i.e.

$$-1\varepsilon + p(y) < p(x) < 1\varepsilon + p(y).$$

Hence

$$-1\varepsilon + p(y) < \inf_{x \in Q_{x_i}} p(x) < 1\varepsilon + p(y),$$

i.e.

$$-1\varepsilon < \inf_{x \in Q_{x_i}} p(x) - p(y) < 1\varepsilon.$$

Therefore

$$(\forall y \in Q_{x_i})\| \inf_{x \in Q_{x_i}} p(x) - p(y)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Let $(\forall i) a_i = \inf_{x \in Q_{x_i}} p(x)$. Then

$$\|p - \sum z_i a_i\| < \varepsilon, \sum z_i a_i \in \Re$$

and

$$p \geq \sum z_i a_i.$$

Besides, since $(\forall i)(\forall x \in Q_{x_i}) p(x) \geq 0$ we have $\sum z_i a_i \geq 0$ ($\sum z_i a_i$ is a projection). Hence

$$0 = (1-p)p(1-p) \geq (1-p)(\sum z_i a_i)(1-p) \geq 0$$

and

$$p(\sum z_i a_i) = \sum z_i a_i.$$

Hence p, $\sum z_i a_i$ mutually commute in $\mathcal{A}$. Clearly the set

$$\{p, \sum z_i a_i, z_1 a_1, z_2 a_2, \ldots, z_l a_l\}$$

is a set of pairwise commutative elements in $C(X, B(H))_{sa}$. For the maximal commutative $*$-subalgebra $A_\circ$ of $SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$, containing this set the value at $\sum z_i a_i$ of δ on $A_\circ$, to which the measure μ is extended, coincides with the value of the function ϕ at this element $\sum z_i a_i$. Indeed, by theorem 1.1 for every i the linear functional $\phi|_{z_i \mathcal{C}}$ coincides on $z_i \mathcal{C}$ with δ. Therefore $(\forall i)\delta(z_i a_i) = \phi(z_i a_i)$. Hence $\delta(\sum z_i a_i) = \phi(\sum z_i a_i)$. At the same time, since the measure μ is uniquely

extended to δ on $SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$ $\phi(\sum z_i a_i)$ does not depend on choice of $A_\circ$. Since δ is continuous on $A_\circ$ and $\|p - \sum z_i a_i\| < \varepsilon$ it follows that

$$|\mu(p) - \phi(\sum z_i a_i)| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, there exists a consequence (a_m) in $\Re$ uniformly converging to p such that p and a_m are mutually commutes for each m. In this case $\lim \phi(a_m)$ exists and $\mu(p) = \lim \phi(a_m)$. So the extension of $\phi|_{\Re}$ on $C(X, B(H))_{sa}$ coincides on projections with the unique quasi-linear functional δ defined on $SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$ to which the measure μ is extended. Then ϕ is linear on $C(X, B(H))_{sa}$. Let $a, b \in C(X, B(H))_{sa}$ such that $\|a-b\| < \varepsilon$. Then there exist c and d in $\Re$ such that $\|a-c\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|b-d\| < \varepsilon$. Hence

$$|\phi(a-b)| = |\phi(a-c+c-d+d-b)| \leq$$
$$|\phi(a-c)| + |\phi(c-d)| + |\phi(d-b)| < C\varepsilon,$$

where C is a constant that does not depend on ε.

Thus, ϕ is a continuous linear functional on the subspace $C(X, B(H)_{sa})$ of $SC_{\#}(X, B(H))_{sa}$. Hence the map

$$\rho(a+ib) = \phi(a) + i\phi(b), a, b \in C(X, B(H)_{sa})$$

is a linear functional on $C(X, B(H))$, which is a unique extension of μ. $\triangleright$

Corollary 1.3. The set of all linear combinations of all finite families of orthogonal projections in $C(X, B(H))$ is uniformly dense in $C(X, B(H))$.

Remark. Note that theorem 1.1 was proved in the case of bounded signed measure by Matvejchuk M.S in [14] for purely infinite AW*-algebras and finite AW*-algebras with a faithful normal centrevalued trace.

Lemma 1.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a AW*-algebra, $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ be a 2-local derivation, and let e, f be mutually orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{A}$. Then*

1) $\Delta(e+f) = \Delta(e) + \Delta(f)$,

2) if λ, μ are arbitrary complex numbers, then $\Delta(\lambda e + \mu f) = \Delta(\lambda e) + \Delta(\mu f)$.

Proof. A proof of 1): by the definition there exist a, $b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\Delta(e+f) = a(e+f) - (e+f)a, \Delta(e) = ae - ea,$$
$$\Delta(e+f) = b(e+f) - (e+f)b, \Delta(f) = bf - fb.$$

Then

$$(e+f)a(e+f)^{\perp} = (e+f)b(e+f)^{\perp},$$
$$(e+f)^{\perp}a(e+f) = (e+f)^{\perp}b(e+f).$$

Hence

$$fa(e+f)^{\perp} = fb(e+f)^{\perp}, (e+f)^{\perp}af = (e+f)^{\perp}bf.$$

Now we must show $eaf = ebf$, $fae = fbe$. Indeed, there exists $d \in M$ such that

$$\Delta(e) = de - ed, \Delta(f) = df - fd.$$

Hence

$$fae = fde, eaf = edf, ebf = edf, fbe = fde.$$

Therefore

$$eaf = ebf, fae = fbe$$

and

$$\Delta(e+f) = a(e+f) - (e+f)a =$$
$$ea(e+f) + fa(e+f) + (e+f)^{\perp}a(e+f) -$$

$$(e+f)ae-(e+f)af-(e+f)a(e+f)^{\perp}=$$
$$eae+fae+(e+f)^{\perp}ae-eae-eaf-ea(e+f)^{\perp}+$$
$$eaf+faf+(e+f)^{\perp}af-fae-faf-fa(e+f)^{\perp}=$$
$$eae+fae+(e+f)^{\perp}ae-eae-eaf-ea(e+f)^{\perp}+$$
$$ebf+fbf+(e+f)^{\perp}bf-fbe-fbf-fb(e+f)^{\perp}=$$
$$ae-ea+bf-fb=\Delta(e)+\Delta(f).$$

A proof of 2): by the definition there exist a, $b\in\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\Delta(\lambda e+\mu f)=a(\lambda e+\mu f)-(\lambda e+\mu f)a, \Delta(e)=ae-ea,$$
$$\Delta(\lambda e+\mu f)=b(\lambda e+\mu f)-(\lambda e+\mu f)b, \Delta(f)=bf-fb.$$

Then

$$(\lambda e+\mu f)a(e+f)^{\perp}=(\lambda e+\mu f)b(e+f)^{\perp},$$
$$(e+f)^{\perp}a(\lambda e+\mu f)=(e+f)^{\perp}b(\lambda e+\mu f),$$
$$eaf=ebf, fae=fbe.$$

Hence

$$fa(e+f)^{\perp}=fb(e+f)^{\perp}, (e+f)^{\perp}af=(e+f)^{\perp}bf$$

and

$$\Delta(\lambda e+\mu f)=a(\lambda e+\mu f)-(\lambda e+\mu f)a=$$
$$ea(\lambda e+\mu f)+fa(\lambda e+\mu f)+(e+f)^{\perp}a(\lambda e+\mu f)-$$
$$(\lambda e+\mu f)ae-(\lambda e+\mu f)af-(\lambda e+\mu f)a(e+f)^{\perp}=$$
$$ea\lambda e+fa\lambda e+(e+f)^{\perp}a\lambda e-\lambda eae-\lambda eaf-\lambda ea(e+f)^{\perp}+$$
$$ea\mu f+fa\mu f+(e+f)^{\perp}a\mu f-\mu fae-\mu faf-\mu fa(e+f)^{\perp}=$$
$$ea\lambda e+fa\lambda e+(e+f)^{\perp}a\lambda e-\lambda eae-\lambda eaf-\lambda ea(e+f)^{\perp}+$$
$$eb\mu f+fb\mu f+(e+f)^{\perp}b\mu f-\mu fbe-\mu fbf-\mu fb(e+f)^{\perp}=$$
$$\lambda(ae-ea)+\mu(bf-fb)=\lambda\Delta(e)+\mu\Delta(f)=$$
$$\Delta(\lambda e)+\Delta(\mu f).$$

▷

Lemma 1.5. *Let , $\Delta:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}$ be a 2-local derivation on a AW*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Then*

$$\Delta(\lambda_1e_1+\lambda_2e_2+...+\lambda_me_m)=$$
$$\Delta(\lambda_1e_1)+\Delta(\lambda_2e_2)+...+\Delta(\lambda_me_m).$$

for any family of pairwise orthogonal projections e_1, e_2, ..., e_m in $\mathcal{A}$ and complex numbers λ_1, λ_2, ...,λ_m.

Proof. It is clear that

$$\Delta(e_1+e_2+...+e_m)=\Delta(e_1)+\Delta(e_2+...+e_m)=$$
$$\Delta(e_1)+\Delta(e_2)+\Delta(e_3+...+e_m)=...=$$
$$\Delta(e_1)+\Delta(e_2)+...+\Delta(e_m)$$

by 1) of lemma 1.4.

Using the induction we prove that

$$\Delta(\lambda_1e_1+\lambda_2e_2+...+\lambda_me_m)=$$
$$\Delta(\lambda_1e_1)+\Delta(\lambda_2e_2)+...+\Delta(\lambda_me_m).$$

The case $m = 1$ is obvious. The case $m = 2$ follows by lemma 1.4. Suppose that

$$\Delta(\lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2 + ... + \lambda_{m-1} e_{m-1}) =$$
$$\Delta(\lambda_1 e_1) + \Delta(\lambda_2 e_2) + ... + \Delta(\lambda_{m-1} e_{m-1}).$$

Let $x = \lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2 + ... + \lambda_{m-1} e_{m-1}$. Then there exist a, $b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\Delta(\lambda_m e_m + x) =$$
$$a(\lambda_m e_m + x) - (\lambda_m e_m + x)a, \Delta(e_m) = ae_m - e_m a,$$
$$\Delta(\lambda_m e_m + x) = b(\lambda_m e_m + x) - (\lambda_m e_m + x)b,$$
$$\Delta(x) = bx - xb.$$

Then

$$(\lambda_m e_m + x)a(e_m + f)^{\perp} = (\lambda_m e_m + x)b(e_m + f)^{\perp},$$
$$(e_m + f)^{\perp} a(\lambda_m e_m + x) = (e_m + f)^{\perp} b(\lambda_m e_m + x),$$

where $f = e_1 + e_2 + ... + e_{m-1}$. Hence

$$xa(e_m + f)^{\perp} = xb(e_m + f)^{\perp},$$
$$(e_m + f)^{\perp} ax = (e_m + f)^{\perp} bx, \qquad (1.6)$$
$$e_m a(e_m + f)^{\perp} = e_m b(e_m + f)^{\perp},$$
$$(e_m + f)^{\perp} ae_m = (e_m + f)^{\perp} be_m.$$

Let us prove that

$$e_m ax = e_m bx, xae_m = xbe_m. \qquad (1.7)$$

Indeed, there exists $d \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\Delta(e_m) = de_m - e_m d, \Delta(x) = dx - xd.$$

Hence

$$xae_m = xde_m, e_m ax = e_m dx,$$
$$e_m bx = e_m dx, xbe_m = xde_m.$$

Therefore

$$e_m ax = e_m bx, xae_m = xbe_m.$$

Hence

$$e_m af = e_m bf, fae_m = fbe_m.$$

Also we have

$$(e_m + f + (e_m + f)^{\perp})a(\lambda_m e_m + x) -$$
$$(\lambda_m e_m + x)a(e_m + f + (e_m + f)^{\perp}) =$$
$$(e_m + f + (e_m + f)^{\perp})b(\lambda_m e_m + x) -$$
$$(\lambda_m e_m + x)b(e_m + f + (e_m + f)^{\perp}),$$

and

$$fax - xaf = fbx - xbf. \qquad (1.8)$$

Therefore

$$\Delta(\lambda_m e_m + x) = a(\lambda_m e_m + x) - (\lambda_m e_m + x)a =$$
$$e_m a(\lambda_m e_m + x) + fa(\lambda_m e_m + x) + (e_m + f)^{\perp} a(\lambda_m e_m + x) -$$
$$(\lambda_m e_m + x)ae_m - (\lambda_m e_m + x)af - (\lambda_m e_m + x)a(e_m + f)^{\perp} =$$
$$e_m a\lambda_m e_m + fa\lambda_m e_m + (e_m + f)a\lambda_m e_m -$$
$$\lambda_m e_m ae_m - \lambda_m e_m af - \lambda_m e_m a(e_m + f)^{\perp} +$$
$$e_m ax + fax + (e_m + f)^{\perp} ax - xae_m - xaf - xa(e_m + f)^{\perp} =$$

$$e_m a\lambda_m e_m + fa\lambda_m e_m + (e_m+f)^{\perp}a\lambda_m e_m -$$
$$\lambda_m e_m a e_m - \lambda_m e_m af - \lambda_m e_m a(e_m+f)^{\perp} +$$
$$e_m bx + fbx + (e_m+f)^{\perp}bx - xbe_m - xbf - xb(e_m+f)^{\perp} =$$
$$\lambda_m(ae_m - e_m a) + (bx - xb) = \lambda_m\Delta(e_m) + \Delta(x) =$$
$$\Delta(\lambda_m e_m) + \Delta(x)$$

by (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8).

Hence by induction we obtain that

$$\Delta(\lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2 + ... + \lambda_m e_m) =$$
$$\Delta(\lambda_1 e_1) + \Delta(\lambda_2 e_2) + ... + \Delta(\lambda_m e_m).$$

▷

Lemma 1.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a AW*-algebra, $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ be a 2-local derivation, and let $\mathcal{A}_o$ be a maximal abelian $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Consider two linear combinations, $\lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2 + ... + \lambda_m e_m$, $\mu_1 f_1 + \mu_2 f_2 + ... + \mu_k f_k$ defined by the sets $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_m\}$, $\{f_1, f_2, ..., f_k\}$ of orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{A}_o$ respectively. Then*

$$\Delta((\lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2 + ... + \lambda_m e_m) + (\mu_1 f_1 + \mu_2 f_2 + ... + \mu_k f_k)) =$$
$$\Delta(\lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2 + ... + \lambda_m e_m) + \Delta(\mu_1 f_1 + \mu_2 f_2 + ... + \mu_k f_k).$$

Proof. It clear that the union of the families

$$\{e_i - e_i f\}_{i=1,...,m}, \{e_i f_j\}_{i=1,...,m, j=1,...,k}, \{f_j - f_j e\}_{j=1,...,k}$$

is a set of orthogonal projections in M_o, where $e = e_1 + e_2 + ... + e_m$, $f = f_1 + f_2 + ... + f_k$. Hence

$$\Delta(\lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2 + ... + \lambda_m e_m + \mu_1 f_1 + \mu_2 f_2 + ... + \mu_k f_k) =$$
$$\Delta(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\lambda_i(e_i - e_i f) + \sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{k}(\lambda_i + \mu_j)e_i f_j + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\mu_i(f_i - f_i e)) =$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}\lambda_i\Delta(e_i - e_i f) + \sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{k}(\lambda_i + \mu_j)\Delta(e_i f_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\mu_i\Delta(f_i - f_i e)) =$$
$$[\sum_{i=1}^{m}\lambda_i\Delta(e_i - e_i f) + \sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{k} i\Delta(e_i f_j)] +$$
$$[\sum_{i=1}^{k}\mu_i\Delta(f_i - f_i e)) + \sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\mu_j\Delta(e_i f_j)] =$$
$$\Delta(\lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2 + ... + \lambda_m e_m) + \Delta(\mu_1 f_1 + \mu_2 f_2 + ... + \mu_k f_k)$$

by lemma 1.5. ▷

In the following lemmas $\mathcal{P}(C(X, B(H)))$ denotes the lattice of all projections in $C(X, B(H))$ and $Ln_o(\mathcal{P}(C(X, B(H))))$ denotes the set of all finite linear combinations of orthogonal projections in $C(X, B(H))$.

Lemma 1.7. *For every pair of elements x, $y \in Ln_o(\mathcal{P}(C(X, B(H))))$*

$$\Delta(x + y) = \Delta(x) + \Delta(y).$$

Proof. Firstly, let us show that for each $f \in SC_{\#}(X, B(H))^*$ the restriction $f \circ \Delta|_{\mathcal{P}(SC_{\#}(X,B(H)))}$ of the superposition $f \circ \Delta(x) = f(\Delta(x))$, $x \in SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$,

onto the lattice $\mathcal{P}(SC_{\#}(X,B(H)))$ is a bounded signed measure, where $SC_{\#}(X,B(H))^*$ is the space of linear functionals on $SC_{\#}(X,B(H))$. Let e_1, e_2 be orthogonal projections in $SC_{\#}(X,B(H))$. By 1) of lemma 1.4 we obtain that

$$f\circ\Delta(e_1+e_2)=f(\Delta(e_1)+\Delta(e_2))=f(\Delta(e_1))+f(\Delta(e_2))=$$
$$f\circ\Delta(e_1)+f\circ\Delta(e_2),$$

i.e. $f\circ\Delta$ is a signed measure.

By theorem 1.2 (Gleason Theorem) for signed measures there exists a unique bounded linear functional $\tilde{f}$ on $C(X,B(H))$ such that

$$\tilde{f}|_{\mathcal{P}(C(X,B(H)))}=f\circ\Delta|_{\mathcal{P}(C(X,B(H)))}.$$

Let us show that $\tilde{f}|_{Ln_o(\mathcal{P}(C(X,B(H))))}=f\circ\Delta|_{Ln_o(\mathcal{P}(C(X,B(H))))}$. Indeed, let $\mathcal{A}_o$ be a maximal commutative $*$-subalgebra of $C(X,B(H))$. Then by lemma 1.6 Δ is linear on $\mathcal{A}_o\cap Ln_o(\mathcal{P}(C(X,B(H))))$, and therefore $f\circ\Delta|_{\mathcal{A}_o\cap Ln_o(\mathcal{P}(C(X,B(H))))}$ is a bounded linear functional which is an extension of the measure $f\circ\Delta|_{\mathcal{P}(C(X,B(H)))}$. By the uniqueness of the extension we have $\tilde{f}(x)=f\circ\Delta(x)$, $x\in\mathcal{A}_o\cap Ln_o(\mathcal{P}(C(X,B(H))))$.

So for all $f\in SC_{\#}(X,B(H))^*$ we have

$$f(\Delta(x+y))=f(\Delta(x))+f(\Delta(y))=f(\Delta(x)+\Delta(y)),$$

i.e. $f(\Delta(x+y)-\Delta(x)-\Delta(y))=0$ for all $f\in SC_{\#}(X,B(H))^*$. Since $SC_{\#}(X,B(H))^*$ separates points of $\mathcal{A}$ it follows that $\Delta(x+y)-\Delta(x)-\Delta(y)=0$ for all x, $y\in Ln_o(\mathcal{P}(C(X,B(H))))$. The proof is complete. ▷

Lemma 1.8. *There exists an element $a\in C(X,B(H))^{**}$ such that $\Delta(x)=D_a(x)=ax-xa$ for all $x\in Ln_o(\mathcal{P}(C(X,B(H))))$.*

Proof. We have the set $Ln_o(\mathcal{P}(C(X,B(H))))$ is uniformly dense in $C(X,B(H))$ by corollary 1.3 and $C(X,B(H)_{sa})$ is weakly dense in $C(X,B(H))^{**}_{sa}$. Therefore $Ln_o(\mathcal{P}(C(X,B(H))))$ is weakly dense in $C(X,B(H))^{**}_{sa}$. Let x be an arbitrary element in $C(X,B(H))^{**}_{sa}$ and (x_n) be a sequence in $Ln_o(\mathcal{P}(C(X,B(H))))$ weakly converging to x. Then by the proof of lemma 1.7 for any $f\in C(X,B(H))^{***}$ the sequence $(f\circ\Delta(x_n))$ is a fundamental sequence of complex numbers. Hence the sequence $\Delta(x_n)$ is also a fundamental sequence in the weak topology and weakly converges to some element $y\in C(X,B(H))^{**}$. Let $\tilde{\Delta}(x)=y$. Consider the weak extension $\tilde{\Delta}$ of $\Delta|_{Ln_o(\mathcal{P}(C(X,B(H))))}$ on $C(X,B(H))^{**}_{sa}$. Then this extension $\tilde{\Delta}$ is additive on $C(X,B(H))^{**}_{sa}$ by lemma 1.7. Taking into account the homogeneity of Δ we obtain that

$$\tilde{\Delta}(x^2)=\tilde{\Delta}(x)x+x\tilde{\Delta}(x),x\in C(X,B(H))^{**}_{sa}$$

since multiplication is separately weakly continuous in $C(X,B(H))^{**}$. Consider the extension $\hat{\Delta}$ of $\tilde{\Delta}|_{C(X,B(H))^{**}_{sa}}$ on $C(X,B(H))^{**}$ defined by:

$$\hat{\Delta}(x_1+ix_2)=\tilde{\Delta}(x_1)+i\tilde{\Delta}(x_2),x_1,x_2\in C(X,B(H))^{**}_{sa}.$$

By the definition $\tilde{\Delta}$ is a Jordan derivation on $C(X,B(H))^{**}$. As we mentioned above by [10, Theorem 1] any Jordan derivation on a semiprime algebra is a derivation. Since $C(X,B(H))^{**}$ is semi-prime $\tilde{\Delta}$ is a derivation on $C(X,B(H))^{**}$. It is known [15] that any derivation D on $C(X,B(H))^{**}$ is an inner derivation, that is there exists an element $a\in C(X,B(H))^{**}$ such that $D(x)=ax-xa$ for all $x\in C(X,B(H))^{**}$. Therefore there exists an element $a\in C(X,B(H))^{**}$ such that

$$\tilde{\Delta}(x)=ax-xa$$

for all $x \in C(X, B(H))^{**}$. In particular, $\Delta(x) = D_a(x) = ax - xa$ for all $x \in Ln_o(\mathcal{P}(C(X, B(H))))$. The proof is complete. ▷

2. 2-local derivations on AW*-algebras of type I_n

We take the AW*-algebra of type I_n $SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$, the C*-subalgebra $C(X, B(H))$ of all continuous operator-valued functions on X and the system of matrix units $\{e_{ij}\}$ from section 1.

Lemma 2.1. *Let a be the element from lemma 1.8. Then for every i and j*

$$e_i a(ij)e_j = e_i a e_j, e_j a(ij) e_i = e_j a e_i,$$

$$e_i a(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij)e_j = e_i a e_{ij} - e_{ij} a e_j,$$

where $a(ij) \in SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$ is an element such that

$$\triangle(e_{ij}) = a(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij).$$

Proof. There exists an element d in $SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$ such that

$$\triangle(e_i) = de_i - e_i d, \triangle(e_{ij}) = de_{ij} - e_{ij}d.$$

Hence

$$de_{ij} - e_{ij}d = a(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij)$$

and

$$e_i de_j = e_i a(ij) e_j, e_j de_i = e_j a(ij) e_i.$$

At the same time

$$de_i - e_i d = ae_i - e_i a$$

by lemma 1.8 and

$$e_i de_j = e_i a e_j, e_j de_i = e_j a e_i.$$

Therefore

$$e_i a e_j = e_i a(ij) e_j, e_j a e_i = e_j a(ij) e_i.$$

Now we have $e_{ij} + e_{ji} \in Ln_o(\mathcal{P}(C(X, B(H))))$. Therefore

$$\triangle(e_{ij} + e_{ji}) = a(e_{ij} + e_{ji}) - (e_{ij} + e_{ji})a$$

by lemma 1.8. By the definition of 2-local derivation there exists $d \in SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$ such that

$$\triangle(e_{ij}) = de_{ij} - e_{ij}d,$$

$$\triangle(e_{ij} + e_{ji}) = d(e_{ij} + e_{ji}) - (e_{ij} + e_{ji})d.$$

Hence

$$d(e_{ij} + e_{ji}) - (e_{ij} + e_{ji})d = a(e_{ij} + e_{ji}) - (e_{ij} + e_{ji})a$$

and

$$e_i de_{ij} - e_{ij} de_j = e_i a e_{ij} - e_{ij} a e_j.$$

At the same time, since

$$de_{ij} - e_{ij}d = a(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij)$$

we have

$$e_i de_{ij} - e_{ij} de_j = e_i a(ij) e_{ij} - e_{ij} a(ij) e_j.$$

Therefore

$$e_i a(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij)e_j = e_i a e_{ij} - e_{ij} a e_j.$$

▷

Lemma 2.2. *Let a be an element from lemma 1.8. Then for any pair i, j of different indices the following equality holds*

$$\triangle(e_{ij}) = ae_{ij} - e_{ij}a. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Let k be an arbitrary index different from i, j and let $a(ij,ik) \in SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$ be an element such that

$$\triangle(e_{ik}) = a(ij,ik)e_{ik} - e_{ik}a(ij,ik) \text{ and } \triangle(e_{ij}) = a(ij,ik)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij,ik).$$

Then

$$e_{kk} \triangle (e_{ij})e_{jj} = e_{kk}(a(ij,ik)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij,ik))e_{jj} =$$
$$e_{kk}a(ij,ik)e_{ij} - 0 = e_{kk}a(ik)e_{ij} - e_{kk}e_{ij}ae_{jj} =$$
$$e_{kk}a_{ki}e_{ij} - e_{kk}e_{ij}ae_{jj} = e_{kk}ae_{ij} - e_{kk}e_{ij}ae_{jj} =$$
$$e_{kk}(ae_{ij} - e_{ij}a)e_{jj}$$

by lemma 2.1.

Similarly,

$$e_{kk} \triangle (e_{ij})e_{ii} = e_{kk}(a(ij,ik)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij,ik))e_{ii} =$$
$$e_{kk}a(ij,ik)e_{ij}e_{ii} - 0 = 0 - 0 = e_{kk}ae_{ij}e_{ii} - e_{kk}e_{ij}ae_{ii} =$$
$$e_{kk}(ae_{ij} - e_{ij}a)e_{ii}.$$

Let $a(ij,kj) \in SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$ be an element such that

$$\triangle(e_{kj}) = a(ij,kj)e_{kj} - e_{kj}a(ij,kj) \text{ and } \triangle(e_{ij}) = a(ij,kj)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij,kj).$$

Then

$$e_{ii} \triangle (e_{ij})e_{kk} = e_{ii}(a(ij,kj)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij,kj))e_{kk} =$$
$$0 - e_{ij}a(ij,kj)e_{kk} = 0 - e_{ij}a(kj)e_{kk} = 0 - e_{ij}a_{jk}e_{kk} =$$
$$e_{ii}ae_{ij}e_{kk} - e_{ij}ae_{kk} =$$
$$e_{ii}(ae_{ij} - e_{ij}a)e_{kk}$$

by lemma 2.1.

Also we have

$$e_{jj} \triangle (e_{ij})e_{kk} = e_{jj}(a(ij,kj)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij,kj))e_{kk} =$$
$$0 - 0 = e_{jj}\{a(ij)\}_{i\neq j}e_{ij}e_{kk} - e_{jj}e_{ij}\{a(ij)\}_{i\neq j}e_{kk} =$$
$$e_{jj}(ae_{ij} - e_{ij}a)e_{kk},$$

$$e_{ii} \triangle (e_{ij})e_{ii} = e_{ii}(a(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij))e_{ii} =$$
$$0 - e_{ij}a(ij)e_{ii} = 0 - e_{ij}a(ij)e_{ii} = 0 - e_{ij}a_{ji}e_{ii} =$$
$$e_{ii}ae_{ij}e_{ii} - e_{ij}ae_{ii} =$$
$$e_{ii}(ae_{ij} - e_{ij}a)e_{ii}$$

by lemma 2.1.

$$e_{jj} \triangle (e_{ij})e_{jj} = e_{jj}(a(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij))e_{jj} =$$
$$e_{jj}a(ij)e_{ij} - 0 = e_{jj}a_{ji}e_{ij} - 0 =$$
$$e_{jj}ae_{ij} - e_{jj}e_{ij}ae_{jj} =$$
$$e_{jj}(ae_{ij} - e_{ij}a)e_{jj}$$

by lemma 2.1.

$$e_{ii} \triangle (e_{ij})e_{jj} = e_{ii}(a(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij))e_{jj} = e_{ii}a(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij)e_{jj} = e_{ii}ae_{ij} - e_{ij}ae_{jj},$$

$$e_{jj} \triangle (e_{ij})e_{ii} = e_{jj}(a(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij))e_{ii} = 0 = e_{jj}(ae_{ij} - e_{ij}a)e_{ii},$$

by lemma 2.1.

Therefore for all indices α and β we have

$$e_{\alpha\alpha} \triangle (e_{ij})e_{\beta\beta} = e_{\alpha\alpha}(ae_{ij} - e_{ij}a)e_{\beta\beta}.$$

Hence the equality (2.1) holds. ▷

Theorem 2.3. *There exists an element $d \in SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$ such that $\triangle(x) = dx - xd$ for every $x \in SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$ and $\triangle$ is a derivation on $SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$.*

Proof. Let a be an element from lemma 1.8 and $d(ij) \in SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$ be an element such that

$$\triangle(e_{ij}) = d(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}d(ij) \text{ and } \triangle(x) = d(ij)x - xd(ij)$$

and $i \neq j$. Then

$$d(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}d(ij) = ae_{ij} - e_{ij}a$$

for all i, j by lemma 2.2 and

$$(1 - e_{ii})d(ij)e_{ii} = (1 - e_{ii})ae_{ii}, e_{jj}d(ij)(1 - e_{jj}) = e_{jj}a(1 - e_{jj}), \quad (2.2)$$

$$e_{ii}d(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}d(ij)e_{jj} = e_{ii}ae_{ij} - e_{ij}ae_{jj}. \quad (2.3)$$

for all different i and j.

Hence by (2.2), (2.3) we have

$$e_{jj} \triangle (x)e_{ii} = e_{jj}(d(ij)x - xd(ij))e_{ii} =$$
$$e_{jj}d(ij)(1 - e_{jj})xe_{ii} + e_{jj}d(ij)e_{jj}xe_{ii} - e_{jj}x(1 - e_{ii})d(ij)e_{ii} - e_{jj}xe_{ii}d(ij)e_{ii} =$$
$$e_{jj}a(1 - e_{jj})xe_{ii} - e_{jj}x(1 - e_{ii})ae_{ii} + e_{jj}d(ij)e_{jj}xe_{ii} - e_{jj}xe_{ii}d(ij)e_{ii} =$$
$$e_{jj}a(1 - e_{jj})xe_{ii} - e_{jj}x(1 - e_{ii})ae_{ii} + e_{jj}ae_{jj}xe_{ii} - e_{jj}xe_{ii}ae_{ii} =$$
$$e_{jj}(ax - xa)e_{ii}$$

for all different i and j.

Let $d(ii) \in SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$ be an element such that

$$\triangle(e_{ii}) = d(ii)e_{ii} - e_{ii}d(ii) \text{ and } \triangle(x) = d(ii)x - xd(ii)$$

for each i. Then

$$d(ii)e_{ii} - e_{ii}d(ii) = ae_{ii} - e_{ii}a$$

by lemma 1.8 for all i and

$$(1 - e_{ii})d(ii)e_{ii} = (1 - e_{ii})ae_{ii}, e_{ii}d(ii)(1 - e_{ii}) = e_{ii}a(1 - e_{ii}), \quad (2.4)$$

$$e_{ii}d(ii)e_{ii} - e_{ii}d(ii)e_{ii} = e_{ii}ae_{ii} - e_{ii}ae_{ii} = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

for every i.

Also by (2.4), (2.5) we have

$$e_{ii} \triangle (x)e_{ii} = e_{ii}(d(ii)x - xd(ii))e_{ii} =$$
$$e_{ii}d(ii)(1 - e_{ii})xe_{ii} + e_{ii}d(ii)e_{ii}xe_{ii} - e_{ii}x(1 - e_{ii})d(ii)e_{ii} - e_{ii}xe_{ii}d(ii)e_{ii} =$$
$$e_{ii}a(1 - e_{ii})xe_{ii} - e_{ii}x(1 - e_{ii})ae_{ii} + e_{ii}d(ii)e_{ii}xe_{ii} - e_{ii}xe_{ii}d(ii)e_{ii} =$$

$$e_{ii}a(1-e_{ii})xe_{ii} - e_{ii}x(1-e_{ii})ae_{ii} + 0 =$$
$$e_{ii}a(1-e_{ii})xe_{ii} - e_{ii}x(1-e_{ii})ae_{ii} + e_{ii}ae_{ii}xe_{ii} - e_{ii}xe_{ii}ae_{ii} =$$
$$e_{ii}axe_{ii} - e_{ii}xae_{ii} = e_{ii}(ax-xa)e_{ii}$$

for every i.

Hence

$$\triangle(x) = ax - xa$$

for all $x \in SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$. Therefore $\triangle$ is a derivation and by [9] we may assume that $a \in SC_{\#}(X, B(H))$. $\triangleright$

3. The main theorem

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be an AW*-algebra of type I and let $\triangle : M \to M$ be a 2-local derivation. Then $\triangle$ is a derivation.*

Proof. We have that

$$M = \sum_j^{\oplus} M_{I_{n_j}},$$

where $M_{I_{n_j}}$ is an AW*-algebra of type I_{n_j}, n_j is a cardinal number for any j and $\sum_j^{\oplus} M_{I_{n_j}}$ is the C*-sum of the algebras $M_{I_{n_j}}$. Let $x_j \in M_{I_{n_j}}$ for any j and x be the C*-sum $\sum_j x_j$ of the elements x_j, i.e. $x = \sum_j x_j$. Note that $\triangle(x_j) \in M_{I_{n_j}}$ for all $x_j \in M_{I_{n_j}}$. Hence

$$\triangle|_{M_{I_{n_j}}} : M_{I_{n_j}} \to M_{I_{n_j}},$$

$\triangle$ is a 2-local derivation on $M_{I_{n_j}}$ and by theorem 2.3 or the theorem in [7] $\triangle$ is a derivation on $M_{I_{n_j}}$ for $n_j \neq 2$. The case $n_j = 2$ follows by the proof of theorem 1 in [5].

Let x be an arbitrary element of M. Then there exists $d(j) \in M$ such that $\triangle(x) = d(j)x - xd(j)$, $\triangle(x_j) = d(j)x_j - x_jd(j)$ and

$$z_j \triangle (x) = z_j(d(j)x - xd(j)) = z_j \sum_i (d(j)x_i - x_id(j)) =$$
$$d(j)x_j - x_jd(j) = \triangle(x_j),$$

for all j, where z_j is a unit of $M_{I_{n_j}}$. Hence

$$\triangle(x) = \sum_j z_j \triangle (x) = \sum_j \triangle(x_j).$$

Since x was chosen arbitrarily $\triangle$ is a derivation on M by the last equality.

Indeed, let $x, y \in M$. Then

$$\triangle(x) + \triangle(y) = \sum_j \triangle(x_j) + \sum_j \triangle(y_j) = \sum_j [\triangle(x_j) + \triangle(y_j)] =$$
$$\sum_j \triangle(x_j + y_j) = \sum_j z_j \triangle (x+y) = \triangle(x+y).$$

Similarly,

$$\triangle(xy) = \sum_j \triangle(x_jy_j) = \sum_j [\triangle(x_j)y_j + x_j \triangle (y_j)] =$$
$$\sum_j \triangle(x_j)y_j + \sum_j x_j \triangle (y_j) = \sum_j \triangle(x_j) \sum_j y_j + \sum_j x_j \sum_j \triangle(y_j) =$$

$$\triangle(x)y + x \triangle (y).$$

Hence $\triangle$ is a linear operator and a derivation since $\triangle$ is homogenous. The proof is complete. $\triangleright$

References

[1] Šemrl P. *Local automorphisms and derivations on $B(H)$.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 125, 2677 - 2680. (1997)

[2] Kim S.O., Kim J.S., *Local automorphisms and derivations on M_n.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 132, 1389 - 1392. (2004)

[3] Lin Y., Wong T. *A note on 2-local maps.* Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. Vol. 49, 701-708. (2006)

[4] Ayupov Sh.A., Kudaybergenov K.K. *2-local derivations and automorphisms on $B(H)$.* J. Math. Anal. Appl. Vol. 395, 15-18. (2012)

[5] Ayupov Sh.A., Arzikulov F.N. *2-local derivations on von Neumann algebras of type I.* arXiv:1112.6236v3 [math.OA] 22 Apr 2014, www.arxiv.org

[6] Ayupov Sh.A., Kudaybergenov K.K., Nurjanov B.O., Alauatdinov A.K. *Local and 2-local derivations on noncommutative Arens algebras.* Mathematica Slovaca, 64, 423432. (2014)

[7] Ayupov Sh.A., Arzikulov F.N., *2-local derivations on semi-finite von Neumann algebras.* Glasgow Math. Jour. Vol. 56, 9-12. (2014)

[8] Ayupov Sh.A., Kudaybergenov K.K., *2-local derivations on von Neumann algebras.* Positivity, DOI 10.1007/s11117-014-0307-3.

[9] Olesen D., *Derivations of AW*-algebras are inner.* Pacific J. Math. Vol. 53, No. 2, 555-561. (1974)

[10] Bresar M., *Jordan derivations on semiprime rings,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 104, 1003-1006. (1988)

[11] Sherstnev A.N., *Methods of bilinear forms in the noncommutative theory of a measure and an integral.* Moscow, Fizmatlit. (2008, Russian)

[12] Kusraev A.G., *Booleanvalued analysis of involutive algebras.* Vladikavkaz. (1996, Russian)

[13] Aarnes J.F. *Quasi-states on C*-algebras.* Trans. Am. Math. Soc. Vol. 149, No 2, 601-625. (1970)

[14] Matvejchuk M.S. *Linearity of a singed measure on a lattice of ortoprojections.* News of higher educational institutions. Mathematical series. Vol. 400. No 9, 48-66. (1995, Russian)

[15] Sakai, S.*C*-algebras and W*-algebras.* Springer (1971)

Institute of Mathematics, National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan and the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP) Trieste, Italy

E-mail address: sh_ayupov@mail.ru

Andizhan State University, Andizhan, Uzbekistan

E-mail address: arzikulovfn@rambler.ru